arXiv:1411.3274v1 [math.DG] 12 Nov 2014

On a class of submanifolds in tangent bundle with $g-$ natural metric

Stanisław Ewert-Krzemieniewski
West Pomeranian University of Technology Szczecin
School of Mathematics
Al. Piastów 17
70-310 Szczecin
Poland
e-mail: ewert@zut.edu.pl

November 13, 2014

Abstract

An isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M into a Riemannian manifold N gives rise in a natural way to the immersion of the tangent bundle TM into the tangent bundle TN with a non-degenerate $g-$ natural metric G.

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 53B20, 53C07, secondary 53B21, 55C25.

Key words: Riemannian manifold, tangent bundle, g - natural metric, submanifold, izometric immersion, non-degenerate metric.

1 Introduction

Let $\pi : TN \longrightarrow N$ be the tangent bundle of a manifold N with Levi-Civita connection ∇ on N, π being projection. Then at each point $(x,u) \in TN$ the tangent space $T_{(x,u)}TN$ splits into direct sum of two isomorphic spaces $V_{(x,u)}TN$ and $H_{(x,u)}TN$, where

$$V_{(x,u)}TN = Ker(d\pi|_{(x,u)}), \quad H_{(x,u)}TN = Ker(K|_{(x,u)})$$

and K is called the connection map ([7]) see also ([13]).

More precisely, if $Z = \left(Z^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + \overline{Z}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}\right)|_{(x,u)} \in T_{(x,u)}TN$, then the vertical and horizontal projections of Z on T_xN are given by

$$(d\pi)_{(x,u)}\, Z = \overline{Z}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}|_x, \quad K_{(x,u)}(Z) = \left(\overline{Z}^r + u^s Z^t \Gamma^r_{st}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}|_x.$$

On the other hand, to each vector field X on N there correspond uniquely determined vector fields X^v and X^h on TN such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi|_{(x,u)}(X^v) &= 0, \quad K|_{(x,u)}(X^v) = X, \\ K|_{(x,u)}(X^h) &= 0, \quad d\pi|_{(x,u)}(X^h) = X. \end{aligned}$$

X^v and X^h are called the vertical lift and the horizontal lift of a given X to TN respectively.

In local coordinates (x^r, u^r) on TN, the horizontal and vertical lifts of a vector field $X = X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}$ on N to TN are vector fields given respectively by

$$X^h = X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} - u^s X^t \Gamma^r_{st} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}, \quad X^v = X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}.$$

In the paper we shall frequently use the frame $(\partial^h_k, \partial^v_l) = \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right)^h, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)^v \right)$ known as the adapted frame.

Having given an isometric immersion $f : M \longrightarrow N$, we have two tangent bundles $\pi_N : TN \longrightarrow N$ and $\pi_M : TM \longrightarrow M$, where the latter is the subbundle of the former one. Let M, N be two Riemannian manifolds with metrics g_M and g_N and Levi-Civita connections ∇_M and ∇_N respectively. Then T_pTM and T_pTN have at a common point p their own decompositions into vertical and horizontal parts, ie.

$$T_pTM = V_pTM \oplus H_pTM = V_M \oplus H_M$$

and

$$T_pTN = V_pTN \oplus H_pTN = V_N \oplus H_N,$$

but neither $V_M \subset V_N$ nor $H_M \subset H_N$ need to hold along TM.

So, for a vector X tangent to M we define two vertical lifts X^{v_M}, X^{v_N} and two horizontal lifts X^{h_M}, X^{h_N} with respect to the bundles over M and N respectively and find relations between them. These allow us to compute the shape operator of the immersion under consideration (see (2) below) and make some conclusions about just obtained submanifold of TN.

Notice that totally geodesic submanifolds of tangent bundle with $g-$ natural metric are also studied in ([1]) and ([10]).

Throughout the paper all manifolds under consideration are Hausdorff and smooth ones. The metrics on the base manifolds are Riemannian ones and the metrics on tangent spaces are non-degenerat.

2 Preliminaries on submanifolds

Throughout the paper we assume that indices h, i, j, k, l, r, s, t run through the range $1, ..., n$, while a, b, c, d, e run through the range $1, ..., m$, and $m < n$. Moreover, the indices $x, y, z = m+1, ..., n$.

Let (N, g), $\dim N = n$, be a Riemannian manifold with metric g, covered by coordinate neighbourhoods $(U, (x^j))$, $j = 1, ..., n$. Let $(M, \widetilde{g})$ be a Riemannian

manifold covered by coordinate neighbourhoods $(V,(y^a))$, $a=1,...,m$, isometrically immersed in (N,g) and let the local expression for this immersion be $x^r=x^r(y^a)$, $r=1,...,n$, $a=1,...,m$. Put $\partial_r=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}$ and $B^r_a=\frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^a}$.

For the local immersion $x^r=x^r(y^a)$ the components of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the induced metric $g_{ab}=g(B^r_a\partial_r,B^s_b\partial_s)=g_{rs}B^r_aB^s_b$ are

$$\Gamma^c_{ab}=\left[B^r_{a.b}+\Gamma^r_{st}B^s_aB^t_b\right]B^c_r,\quad B^c_r=g^{cd}B^t_dg_{tr}.$$

The van der Waerden-Bertolotti covariant derivative of B^r_a is defined by

$$\nabla_bB^r_a=B^r_{a.b}+\Gamma^r_{st}B^s_aB^t_b-\Gamma^c_{ab}B^r_c, \tag{1}$$

where the dot denotes partial derivative with respect to y^b. The operator ∇_b is the covariant differentiation on M with respect to Γ^c_{ab} and can be extended to tensor field on M of mixed type. For example

$$\nabla_c\nabla_bB^r_a=\partial_c\left(\nabla_bB^r_a\right)+\Gamma^r_{st}B^s_c\nabla_bB^t_a-\Gamma^d_{cb}\nabla_dB^r_a-\Gamma^d_{ca}\nabla_bB^r_d.$$

For any fixed indices a and b, the vector $\nabla_bB^r_a\partial_r$ is orthogonal to the submanifold. Hence

$$\nabla_bB^r_a\partial_r=h^x_{ab}N^r_x\partial_r,$$

where $N^r_x\partial_r$, $x=m+1,...,n$ are unit vectors normal to the submanifold. For fixed x, the h^x_{ab} are components of the symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor h on M, called the second fundamental form. Consequently, we have the decomposition

$$\nabla_c\nabla_bB^r_a=\nabla_ch^x_{ba}N^r_x+h^x_{ba}\nabla_cN^r_x,$$

where $\left(\nabla_cN^r_x\right)\partial_r$ is tangent to the submanifold for all c and x.

The Gauss formula is

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_XY=\nabla_XY+h(X,Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y tangent to M, where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on N.

The Weingarten formula is

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X\eta=-\widetilde{A}_\eta X+\widetilde{D}_\eta X,$$

where X is a tangent vector filed and η is a normal one. $\widetilde{A}$ is called the shape operator while $\widetilde{D}$ is Levi-Civita connection induced in the normal bundle over M. We have

$$g(\widetilde{A}_\eta X,Y)=g(h(X,Y),\eta).$$

A submanifold M is said to be totally geodesic if the second fundamental form h vanishes identically, equivalently, if the shape operator $\widetilde{A}$ vanishes identically. For more details see ([14]) or ([12]).

3 Preliminaries on $g-$ natural metrics

In ([11]) the class of $g-$natural metrics was defined. We have

Lemma 1 *([11],[2], [3]) Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and G be a $g-$ natural metric on TM. There exist functions a_j, $b_j :< 0,\infty) \longrightarrow R$, $j = 1,2,3$, such that for every X, Y, $u \in T_xM$*

$$G_{(x,u)}(X^h,Y^h) = (a_1+a_3)(r^2)g_x(X,Y) + (b_1+b_3)(r^2)g_x(X,u)g_x(Y,u),$$
$$G_{(x,u)}(X^h,Y^v) = G_{(x,u)}(X^v,Y^h) = a_2(r^2)g_x(X,Y) + b_2(r^2)g_x(X,u)g_x(Y,u),$$
$$G_{(x,u)}(X^v,Y^v) = a_1(r^2)g_x(X,Y) + b_1(r^2)g_x(X,u)g_x(Y,u),$$

where $r^2 = g_x(u,u)$. For $\dim M = 1$ the same holds for $b_j = 0$, $j = 1,2,3$.

Setting $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = a_3 = b_j = 0$ we obtain the Sasaki metric, while setting $a_1 = b_1 = \frac{1}{1+r^2}$, $a_2 = b_2 = 0 = 0$, $a_1 + a_3 = 1$, $b_1 + b_3 = 1$ we get the Cheeger-Gromoll one.

Following ([2]) we put

1. $a(t) = a_1(t)\,(a_1(t) + a_3(t)) - a_2^2(t)$,
2. $F_j(t) = a_j(t) + tb_j(t)$,
3. $F(t) = F_1(t)\,[F_1(t) + F_3(t)] - F_2^2(t)$
   for all $t \in< 0,\infty)$.

We shall often abbreviate: $A = a_1 + a_3$, $B = b_1 + b_3$.

Lemma 2 *([2], Proposition 2.7) The necessary and sufficient conditions for a $g-$ natural metric G on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold (M,g) to be non-degenerate are $a(t) \neq 0$ and $F(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in< 0,\infty)$. If $\dim M = 1$ this is equivalent to $a(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in< 0,\infty)$.*

We also have

Proposition 3 *([4], [5])Let (N,g) be a Riemannian manifold, ∇ its Levi-Civita connection and R its Riemann curvature tensor. If G is a non-degenerate $g-$ natural metric on TN, then the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of (TN,G) at a point $(x,u) \in TN$ is given by*

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^h}Y^h\right)_{(x,u)} = (\nabla_X Y)^h_{(x,u)} + h\left\{\mathbf{A}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\} + v\left\{\mathbf{B}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\},$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^h}Y^v\right)_{(x,u)} = (\nabla_X Y)^v_{(x,u)} + h\left\{\mathbf{C}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\} + v\left\{\mathbf{D}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\},$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^v}Y^h\right)_{(x,u)} = h\left\{\mathbf{C}(u,Y_x,X_x)\right\} + v\left\{\mathbf{D}(u,Y_x,X_x)\right\},$$

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X^v}Y^v\right)_{(x,u)} = h\left\{\mathbf{E}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\} + v\left\{\mathbf{F}(u,X_x,Y_x)\right\},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{F}$ are some F-tensors defined on the product $TN \otimes TN \otimes TN$.

Remark 4 *Expressions for* **A**, **B**, **C**, **D**, **E**, **F** *were presented for the first time in the original papers ([2],[3]). Unfortunately, they contain some misprints and omissions. Therefore, for the correct form, we reefer the rider to (([4], [5]), see also ([8] [9]).*

4 Lifts of the vectors fields

Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M into a Riemannian manifold N. Suppose that the following diagram holds

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\pi^{-1}(U),(x^r,u^r)) & TN & \longleftarrow - & \widetilde{f} & -- & (\pi^{-1}(V),(y^a,v^a)) & TM \\ \Big| & & & & & \Big| & \\ \Big| & & & & & \Big| & \\ \pi_N & & & & & \pi_M & \\ \Big| & & & & & \Big| & \\ \downarrow & & & & & \downarrow & \\ (U,(x^r)) & N & \longleftarrow - & f & -- & (V,(y^a)) & M \end{array},$$

where $(U,(x^r))$ and $(V,(y^a))$ are coordinate neighbourhoods on N and M respectively while the local expression for f is: $x^r = x^r(y^a)$, $r = 1,...,n$, $a = 1,...,m$ and $m < n$. Then the local coordinate vector fields on M are given by $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} = B^r_a\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}$.

Define the map

$$\widetilde{f} : x^r = x^r(y^a),\ u^r = v^a B^r_a. \tag{2}$$

This is an immersion of the rank $2m$ since the Jacobi matrix J is of the form

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial y^a} & \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial v^a} \\ \frac{\partial u^r}{\partial y^a} & \frac{\partial u^r}{\partial v^a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^r_a & 0 \\ v^b\partial_b B^r_a & B^r_a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = B^r_a\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}$ the vectors tangent to TM are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} + v^b B^r_{a.b}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} = B^r_a\frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}.$$

Definition 5 *Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion. Then the map $\widetilde{f} : TM \longrightarrow TN$, locally given by $x^r = x^r(y^a)$, $u^r = v^a B^r_a$, will be called the lift of the immersion f and its image LM will be called the lift of the submanifold M.*

Remark 6 *The lift of an immersion defined above seems to be the most natural since $B^r_a\partial_r|_{(x^r(y^a))}$ are coordinate vectors at the point $(x^r(y^a)) \in M$ and (v^a) are components of tangent vectors. This kind of lift appears quite natural (c.f. [6]).*

Lemma 7 *Let $\widetilde{f} : TM \longrightarrow TN$ locally given by $x^r = x^r(y^a)$, $u^r = v^a B^r_a$ be the lift of the isometric immersion $f : M \longrightarrow N$. Then the vertical and horizontal*

lifts of the coordinate vector field $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}$ *on* M *with respect to* TN *an* TM *are related by*

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{v_N} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{v_M},$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_N} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_M} - v^b \nabla_b B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{v_N}.$$

Proof. By the use of the definitions of v_N and v_M we have

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{v_N} = B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{v_N} = B_a^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{v_M}.$$

For horizontal lifts we have

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_M} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} - \Gamma_{ab}^c v^b \frac{\partial}{\partial v^c}$$

and, along M,

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_N} = B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{h_N} = B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} - u^s \Gamma_{sr}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u^t}\right) =$$
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} - v^b B_b^r B_a^s \Gamma_{rs}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u^t} \overset{(1)}{=} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} - v^b \left[\nabla_b B_a^r - B_{a.b}^r + \Gamma_{ab}^c B_c^r\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} =$$
$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} + v^b B_{a.b}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}\right) - v^b \Gamma_{ab}^c \frac{\partial}{\partial v^c} - v^b \nabla_b B_a^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} =$$
$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_M} - v^b \nabla_b B_a^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_M} - v^b \nabla_b B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{v_N}.$$

■

We define the vertical vector field

$$K_a = v^b \nabla_b B_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{v_N} = K_a^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right)^{v_N}.$$

Corollary 8 *If* M *is a totally geodesic submanifolds in* N*, then the horizontal lifts* h_N *and* h_M *coincide on* TM*.*

5 Projections

Lemma 9 *Let* $f : M \longrightarrow N$ *be an isometric immersion of Riemannian manifolds and* $\widetilde{f} : TM \longrightarrow TN$ *be its lift defined by (2). Then the projections* $\pi_N : TN \longrightarrow N$ *and* $\pi_M : TM \longrightarrow M$ *satisfy*

$$d(\pi_N)|_{TTM} = d(\pi_M).$$

Proof. The components of the projections: $\pi_N : TN \longrightarrow N$ and $\pi_M : TM \longrightarrow M$ can be written as

$$(\pi_N)^s (x^r, u^r) = x^s, \quad (\pi_M)^b (y^a, v^a) = y^b,$$

where $r = 1, ..., n$, $a = 1, ..., m$. Thus for an arbitrary fixed indices s, b we obtain

$$\frac{\partial (\pi_N)^r}{\partial x^t} = \delta^r_t, \quad \frac{\partial (\pi_N)^r}{\partial u^t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial (\pi_M)^a}{\partial y^b} = \delta^a_b, \quad \frac{\partial (\pi_M)^a}{\partial v^b} = 0.$$

Then for vectors $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^c}$, $c = 1, ..., m$, tangent to M, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^c}$ tangent to TM we find

$$d\pi_M|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^c} \right) = \frac{\partial (\pi_M)^a}{\partial y^c} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = \delta^a_c \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}|_{(x^r(y^a))} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^c}|_{(x^r(y^a))},$$

and

$$d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^c} \right) = d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(B^r_c \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + v^b B^r_b \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} \right) =$$
$$B^r_c \left[d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \right) \right] + v^b B^r_b \left[d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} \right) \right] =$$
$$B^r_c \left(\frac{\partial (\pi_N)^s}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) |_{(x^r(y^a))} + v^b B^r_b \left(\frac{\partial (\pi_N)^s}{\partial u^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) |_{(x^r(y^a))} =$$
$$B^r_c \left(\delta^s_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) |_{(x^r(y^a))} = B^r_c \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}|_{(x^r(y^a))} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^c}|_{(x^r(y^a))}.$$

Similarly, for $\frac{\partial}{\partial v^c}$ tangent to TM, we get

$$d\pi_M|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^c} \right) = \frac{\partial (\pi_M)^a}{\partial v^c} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = 0,$$

and

$$d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^c} \right) = d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(B^r_c \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} \right) =$$
$$B^r_c \left[d\pi_N|_{(x^r(y^a), v^b B^r_b)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^r} \right) \right] = B^r_c \left(\frac{\partial (\pi_N)^s}{\partial u^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) |_{(x^r(y^a))} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. ■

6 Connection map

Lemma 10 *Let $\widetilde{f} : TM \longrightarrow TN$ be the lift of the immersion $f : M \longrightarrow N$ in the sense of Definition 5. Then the connection maps K_N and K_M with respect to the connections ∇_N and ∇_M respectively satisfy*

$$K_N(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^a}) = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = K_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^a}),$$

$$K_N(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}) = v^b \nabla_b B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + K_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}).$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$K_N : T_{(x,u)}TN \longrightarrow T_xN, \quad K_N(X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + \overline{X}^r \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}) = \left(\overline{X}^r + \Gamma^r_{st} u^s X^t\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r},$$

$$K_M : T_{(y,v)}TM \longrightarrow T_yM, \quad K_M(Z^a \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} + \overline{Z}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial v^a}) = \left(\overline{Z}^a + \Gamma^a_{bc} v^b Z^c\right) \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$K_N(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^a}) = K_N(B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}) = B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a} = K_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^a}).$$

Moreover,

$$K_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}) = \Gamma^c_{db} v^b \delta^d_a \frac{\delta}{\delta y^c} = \Gamma^c_{ab} v^b B^t_c \frac{\partial}{\partial x^t},$$

and, by the use of (1) and (2), we find

$$K_N(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}) = K_N(B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + v^b \partial_b B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}) = \left(v^b \partial_b B^r_a + \Gamma^r_{st} B^s_a u^t\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} =$$
$$v^b \left(\partial_b B^r_a + \Gamma^r_{st} B^s_a B^t_b\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} = v^b \left(\nabla_b B^r_a + \Gamma^c_{ab} B^r_c\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} =$$
$$v^b \nabla_b B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + v^b \Gamma^c_{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^c} = v^b \nabla_b B^r_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + K_M(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}).$$

Thus the Lemma is proved. ■

7 Vector field normal to LM

In the case of M being totally geodesic in N, the unit vector fields normal to the lift of M can be chosen in the form $\alpha\eta^{h_N}_x + \beta\eta^{h_N}_x$, $x = m+1, ..., n$, while α, β are functions depending on generators of the $g-$ natural metric G along the lift. The next lemma explains the structure of the vector field normal to LM in the general case.

Lemma 11 *Suppose that M is not necessary totally geodesic in N and $\eta = H^{h_N}_\top + H^{h_N}_\perp + V^{v_N}_\top + V^{v_N}_\perp$ is a vector field normal to the lifted submanifold LM, where $H_\top$, $V_\top$ are tangent to M and $H_\perp$, $V_\perp$ are normal to M in TN. Then for all u tangent to M we have*

$$g(H_\top, u) = -\frac{F_1}{F} g(K,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp),$$

$$g(V_\top, u) = \frac{F_2}{F} g(K,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp),$$

where $K = v^c K_c = v^c v^a \nabla_c B_a^r \partial_r$. *Moreover, if* $a_1 = const$, $a_2 = const$, *then*

$$g(H_\top, \delta_a) = -\frac{F_1}{F} g(K_a,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp),$$

$$g(V_\top, \delta_a) = \frac{F_2}{F} g(K_a,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp).$$

Proof. Relation $G(\delta_a^{v_N}, \eta) = 0$ yields

$$a_2 H_\top + b_2 g(H_\top, u)u + a_1 V_\top + b_1 g(V_\top, u)u = 0,$$

whence, by contraction with u, we get

$$F_2 g(H_\top, u) + F_1 g(V_\top, u) = 0. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, relations $G(\delta_a^{h_M}, \eta) = 0$ and $h_M = h_N + v_N$ yield

$$g\left(\delta_a,\ AH_\top + Bg(H_\top, u)u + a_2 V_\top + b_2 g(V_\top, u)u\right) + g(K_a,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $K_a = v^c \nabla_c B_a^r \partial_r$. Transvecting (4) with v^a, we obtain

$$(F_1 + F_3)\, g(H_\top, u) + F_2 g(V_\top, u) = -g(K,\ a_2 H_\perp + a_1 V_\perp), \tag{5}$$

where $K = v^c K_c$.

Since G is non-degenerate, $F = F_1(F_1 + F_3) - F_2^2 \neq 0$. Solving the system consisting of (3) and (5) with respect to $g(H_\top, u)$ and $g(H_\top, u)$ we obtain the thesis. ■

Consequently, if M is totally geodesic in N, then necessary $H_\top = V_\top = 0$.

8 Lift of a totally geodesic submanifold

8.1 Normal bundle

Suppose that M is a totally geodesic submanifold isometricaly immersed in N and η_x, $x = m+1, ..., n$ are vector fields normal to M in TN. Then, by the Lemma 7, the lifts of the vector fields from M to TM coincide with those to TN. The lifts $(\eta_x)^h = (\eta_x)^{h_N}$ and $(\eta_x)^v = (\eta_x)^{v_N}$ are orthogonal to $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}\right)^{h_M}$ and $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta y^b}\right)^{v_M}$ but are orthogonal to each other if and only if $a_2 = 0$ since $G\left((\eta_x)^h, (\eta_y)^v\right) = a_2 g(\eta_x, \eta_y)$ for all $x, y = m+1, ..., n$.

Proposition 12 *Let* M, $\dim M = m$, *be a totally geodesic submanifold isometrically immersed in a Riemannian manifold* (N, g), $\dim N = n$ *and* η_x, $x = m+1, ..., n$ *be a set of vector fields normal to* M *in* TN. *Suppose, moreover, that* TN *is endowed with* $g-$ *natural metric* G *and* $a = a_1 A - a_2^2 \neq 0$.

If LM *denotes the lift (2) of* M *to* TN, *then the normal bundle of* LM *in* TTN *is spanned by vector fields* S_x, T_x, $x = m+1, ..., n$ *and the following six cases occur:*

1. $Aa_1 \neq 0$, a_2 *arbitrary. Let* $\varepsilon = sgn(a_1A - a_2^2)$, $\delta = sgna_1$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{\varepsilon\delta\sqrt{|a_1|}}{\sqrt{|a|}}(\eta_x)^h - \frac{\varepsilon\delta a_2\sqrt{|a_1|}}{a_1\sqrt{|a|}}(\eta_x)^v,\ T_x = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{|a_1|}}(\eta_x)^v.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = \varepsilon\delta g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = \delta g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

2. $a_2 \neq 0$, $A = 0$, $a_1 = 0, \varepsilon = sgna_2$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{\varepsilon}{2a_2}\eta_x^h - \eta_x^v,\ T_x = \frac{\varepsilon}{2a_2}\eta_x^h + \eta_x^v.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = -\varepsilon g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = \varepsilon g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

3. $a_2 \neq 0$, $A = 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $\varepsilon = sgna_1 = -1$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|a_1|}a_2}\eta_x^h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|a_1|}}\eta_x^v, \quad T_x = \frac{2a_1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|a_1|}a_2}\eta_x^h - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|a_1|}}\eta_x^v.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = -g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

4. $a_2 \neq 0$, $A = 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $\varepsilon = sgna_1 = 1$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{a_1}a_2}\eta_x^h - \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_1}}\eta_x^v, \quad T_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_1}}\eta_x^v.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = -g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

5. $a_2 \neq 0$, $A \neq 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon = sgnA = -1$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{A}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|A|}a_2}\eta_x^v + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|A|}}\eta_x^h, \quad T_x = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|A|}a_2}\eta_x^v - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{|A|}}\eta_x^h.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = -g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

6. $a_2 \neq 0$, $A \neq 0$, $a_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon = sgnA = 1$ *and*

$$S_x = \frac{A}{\sqrt{A}a_2}\eta_x^v - \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\eta_x^h, \quad T_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\eta_x^h.$$

Then

$$G(S_x, S_y) = -g(\eta_x, \eta_y), \quad G(S_x, T_y) = 0, \quad G(T_x, T_y) = g(\eta_x, \eta_y).$$

If η_x *are unit, so do* S_x *and* T_x.

Moreover, only in the first case the metric induced on the normal bundle from G *can be a Riemannian one.*

8.2 Submanifold LM

We abbreviate $\delta_a = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^a}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of the $g-$ natural metric G on TN and by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on N. Moreover, let $\widetilde{A}$ denotes the shape operator of the lifted submanifold LM. Since M is totally geodesic, the normal bundle of the lifted submanifold is spanned by vector fields of the form $\alpha\eta_x^{h_N} + \beta\eta_x^{v_N}$, $x = m+1, ..., n$, $\alpha = \alpha(v^2)$, $\beta = \beta(v^2)$ being functions depending on $v^2 = g_{ab}v^a v^b$ and η_x are vector fields on N normal to M. Moreover we have $\delta_a^{h_N} = \delta_a^{h_M \cdot}$. Setting $\delta_a^{h_N} = \delta_a^h$, by the use of the Weingarten formula to the normal vector field η, we obtain along LM

$$
\begin{aligned}
-G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^h}\left(\delta_a^h\right), \delta_b^h\right) = G\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\delta_a^h}\left(\eta^h\right), \delta_b^h\right) = \\
Ag(\nabla_{\delta_a}\eta, \delta_a) + Bg(\nabla_{\delta_a}\eta, u)g(\delta_a, u) + \\
Ag(\mathbf{A}(u, \delta_a, \eta), \delta_a) + Bg(\mathbf{B}(u, \delta_a, \eta), u)g(\delta_a, u) + \\
a_2 g(\mathbf{A}(u, \delta_a, \eta), \delta_a) + b_2 g(\mathbf{B}(u, \delta_a, \eta), u)g(\delta_a, u).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since M is totally geodesic, the two first terms on the right hand side vanish. Computer supported computations show that

$$
-G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^h}\left(\delta_a^h\right), \delta_b^h\right) = a_2 R(u, \delta_a, \eta, \delta_b).
$$

Similarly, we find

$$
-G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^h}\left(\delta_a^h\right), \delta_b^v\right) = -G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^h}\left(\delta_b^v\right), \delta_a^h\right) = \frac{1}{2}a_1 R(u, \delta_a, \eta, \delta_b),
$$

$$
-G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^v}\left(\delta_a^h\right), \delta_b^h\right) = \frac{1}{2}a_1 R(u, \eta, \delta_a, \delta_b),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^h}\left(\delta_a^v\right), \delta_b^v\right) = G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^v}\left(\delta_a^h\right), \delta_b^v\right) = \\
G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta v}\left(\delta_a^v\right), \delta_b^h\right) = G\left(\widetilde{A}_{\eta^v}\left(\delta_a^v\right), \delta_b^v\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where R is the Riemann curvature tensor of the manifold N.

From the above formulas we get immediately our main result.

Theorem 13 *If M is a totally geodesic submanifold isometrically immersed in a space of constant curvature N, then the lift (2) of M to TN with non-degenerate $g-$ natural metric G is totally geodesic submanifold in (TN, G).*

References

[1] Abbassi, M. T. K., Yampolsky, A., Transverse totally geodesic submanifolds of the tangent bundle, Publ. Math. Debrecen 64/1-2 (2004), 129-154.

[2] Abbassi, M. T. K., Sarih, Maâti, On natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Arch. Math. (Brno) 41 (2005), no. 1, 71–92.

[3] Abbassi, M. T. K., Sarih, Maâti, On some hereditary properties of Riemannian $g-$ natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 22 (2005), no. 1, 19–47.

[4] Abbassi, M. T. K., Métriques Naturelles Riemanniennes sur la Fibré tangent une variété Riemannienne, Editions Universitaires Européénnes, Saarbrücken, Germany, 2012.

[5] Degla, S., Ezin, J. P., Todjihounde, L., On $g-$ natural metrics of constant sectional curvature on tangent bundles, Int. Electronic J. Geom., 2(1) (2009), p. 74-94.

[6] Deshmukh, Al-Odan, H., Shaman, T. A.,Tangent bundle of the hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space, Acta Math. Acad. Pedagog. Nyíregyháziensis, 22 (2006),771-87.

[7] Dombrowski, P., On the Geometry of Tangent Bundle, J. Reine Angew. Math., 210 (1962), p. 73-88.

[8] Ewert-Krzemieniewski, S., On a classification of Killing vector fields on a tangent bundle with$g-$ natural metric, arXiv:1305:3817v1.

[9] Ewert-Krzemieniewski, S., On a Killing vector fields on a tangent bundle with $g-$ natural metric Part I, Note di Matematica, to appear.

[10] Ewert-Krzemieniewski, S., Totally geodesic submanifolds in tangent bundle with g-natural metric, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 11 (2014), no. 9, 1460033 (9 pages).

[11] Kowalski, O., Sekizawa, M., Natural transformations of Riemannian metrics on manifolds to metrics on tangent bundles, A classification. Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. (4) 40 (1988), 1–29.

[12] Yano, K., Kon, M., Structures on Manifolds, World Scientific, 1984.

[13] Yano, K., Ishihara, S., Tangent and cotangent bundles, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1973.

[14] Yano, K., Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector, J. Diff. Geom., 6 (1971), 95-118.

Stanisław Ewert-Krzemieniewski
West Pomeranian University of Technology Szczecin
School of Mathematics
Al. Piastów 17, 70-310 Szczecin, Poland
e-mail: ewert@zut.edu.pl